

## Editorial

Our journal arrives at its 14th issue, completing 7 years without a single issue being published after the proposed deadline.

This issue, like some before it, contains a small number of papers, despite the fair amount of submissions we received during this semester. There were 22 papers submitted and only 5 of them were accepted, which amounts to an approximate 25% acceptance rate.

Besides, all papers underwent at least two rounds of revision. Our reviewers were though, but fair and that is the way we like it.

We know that publishing a small number of articles hurt us, because it becomes harder to index the journal (there are many index sites that require a minimum amount of papers published each year). Nevertheless, we do not intend to change our ways or lower our requirements for publication.

Our policy still remains the same: we are going to behave as if we were a world class journal. We know that there is a long path to travel in order to become a reference in the field of Computer Science, but we are not afraid of the amount of work we will have to do.

Whenever you submit a paper to our journal, you may be sure that it will be reviewed by the most capable reviewers, who will perform detailed reviews in a timely fashion. We also do not accept reviews that do not come with advice, because we believe that we must offer our clients (which include the authors) the best service available. If we do not strive to offer the best scientific advice for our authors, we would then be failing in our mission.

Hence, I can say that we have five great papers published in this issue. I hope you will appreciate them and that they will contribute to your research, offering you sound advice and interesting information. I believe from the bottom of my heart that our process is sound and that our reviewers did a great job, working together with our excellent authors to improve the articles submitted.

It should be obvious by the fact that we publish this journal free of charge for all that we, at FSMA, believe that research is of the utmost importance. Nevertheless, we are also a teaching college. Hence, we believe that it is our job to also educate our students and contribute to the formation of a next generation of top professionals.

In this spirit, we offer you a paper with some analysis of the job our universities are doing on the issue of professional education and how we can improve them.

This article is not intended to become a guideline or even to insinuate that we know better than others how to educate our students. We are just offering an initial idea for our top educators to reflect on in order to foster the dialogue on this important issue.

We hope that this paper is received in the spirit that it was

written, as an intended valuable contribution for you to analyze and perform the changes you might deem necessary in your institution.

We work under the guidance of Dom Bosco's principles: educate the youth and work with the times. We believe that since software is all pervasive nowadays, it is our job as members of this congregation to offer ideas for our youth to become more accomplished and for a better world to become a better (and less bug-prone) place.

I hope you enjoy reading this issue as much as I enjoyed myself editing it. Have a good reading.